

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILI | NG DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-----------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/020,592 | 12/12/2001 | | Jacob Stoltze | 760-46 CIP/PCT/USA/CON 2 | 6947 | |
| 7590 06 | | 06/15/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| Salvatore J. A | bbruzzes | se | EREZO, DARWIN P | | | |
| HOFFMANN a | & BARO | N, LLP | | | | |
| 6900 Jericho Turnpike | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Syosset, NY 11791 | | | | 3731 | | |

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/020,592 | STOLTZE ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Darwin P. Erezo | 3731 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M | arch 2006. | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This | | | | | | | |
| ,= | ,— | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| • 4)⊠ Claim(s) 25-45 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>36-40</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-35,45 and 46</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41 and 42</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>43 and 44</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | · | | | | | | |
| | _ | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| The bath of declaration is objected to by the L | tariller. Note the attached Office | Action of 101111 10-132. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,969,458 to Wiktor.

Wiktor discloses a method of forming a stent (1) on the inflated portion of a balloon catheter (7) [col. 4, lines 42-47; Fig. 2]. The stent is releasable retained, in that once the balloon catheter arrives at the implantation site, the balloon is expanded and the stent remains within the previously occluded artery [col. 4, lines 49-58]. It is evident from Figure 2 that the loops (6) of stent (1) are retained in depressions formed in the balloon, as communicated by the short lines emanating therefrom. Crimping the stent onto the balloon is what causes such depressions [col. 3, lines 24-28], and the device that crimps the stent unto the balloon is considered a softening device since it is able to deform a relative stiff stent unto said balloon.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 25-35, 45 and 46 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 43 and 44 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,592 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 41 and 42 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

As to applicant's remarks regarding the potential interference with the Jendersee patents, it should be noted that patentability of all the pending claims must first be determined before setting up the interference.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darwin P. Erezo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,592 Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

de

ANHTUANT. NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER